arXiv:0902.2351v1 [math.FA] 13 Feb 2009

CONSTRUCTIONS PRESERVING n-WEAK AMENABILITY OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

A. JABBARI, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, AND H. R. E. VISHKI

Abstract. A surjective bounded homomorphism fails to preserve n-weak amenability, in general. We however show that it preserves the property if the involved homomorphism enjoys a right inverse. We examine this fact for certain homomorphisms on several Banach algebras.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule or simply an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. A bounded linear mapping $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be a derivation if $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. A derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be inner if there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $D(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$; in this case we say the inner derivation D is implemented by x.
For a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{X}$, the dual $\mathcal{X}^*$ of $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with the actions $(f.a)(x) = f(ax)$ and $(a.f)(x) = f(xa)$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module. Similarly, the n-th dual $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module.

For terminology and background materials we follow [3, 10, 14].

The notion of weak amenability was first introduced by Bade, Curtis and Dales [2] for commutative Banach algebras and then by Johnson in [11] for arbitrary Banach algebras. A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called weakly amenable if every bounded derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into the dual Banach module $\mathcal{A}^*$ is inner. The concept of n-weak amenability was initiated by Dales, Ghahramani and Gronbæk [4]. A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be n-weakly amenable ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) if every bounded derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is inner. Trivially, 1-weak amenability is nothing else than weak amenability. In [4] the authors presented many substantial properties of this variety of Banach algebras. For instance, they showed that C^*-algebras are n-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as well as that $L^1(G)$ is $(2n-1)$-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any locally compact group G.

One of the basic tools for making a new amenable Banach algebra from the old one is the fact that: a continuous homomorphic image of an amenable Banach algebra is again amenable. The same is also easily valid for weak amenability in the commutative case,

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H20, 46H25.

Key words and phrases. Weak amenability, n-weak amenability, derivation, second dual, direct sum, Banach algebra, Arens product.

but is false in general. An interesting counterexample is given by Gronbæk [7]. (Indeed, the tensor algebra $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is weakly amenable for any Banach space X. However, the quotient $\mathcal{N}(X)$, the nuclear operators, is weakly amenable if and only if the kernel has dimension less than or equal to 1. Taking $X = E \oplus E$ with a Banach space E failing the approximation property, we get an example of a weak amenable Banach algebra whose quotient by a closed ideal is not weakly amenable.) The interested reader is referred to [8] for a sufficient condition for weak amenability of the homomorphic image.

In this paper we give a sufficient condition for the n-weak amenability of the homomorphic image. More precisely, we show that the homomorphic image of an n-weakly amenable Banach algebra is n-weakly amenable if the involved homomorphism enjoys a right inverse. We use this fact for obtaining some n- weakly amenable Banach algebras from old ones. Moreover, our method in turn provides a unified approach from which some results of Ghahramani and Laali [6] and also Lau and Loy [13] can be directly derived.

2. RIGHT INVERTIBLE HOMOMORPHISMS PRESERVE n-WEAK AMENABILITY

For a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we denote the natural $\mathcal{A}$-module actions on $\mathcal{A}^*$ by

$$\langle a \cdot a^*, b\rangle = \langle a^*, ba\rangle, \qquad \langle a^* \cdot a, b\rangle = \langle a^*, ab\rangle \qquad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*).$$

In the case when $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a bounded homomorphism, $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ can be regarded as an $\mathcal{A}$-module under the module actions

$$a \cdot b^{(n)} = \Theta(a) \cdot b^{(n)}, \quad b^{(n)} \cdot a = b^{(n)} \cdot \Theta(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}).$$

A direct verification reveals that the adjoint mappings $\Theta^* : \mathcal{B}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\Theta^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{**}$ are $\mathcal{B}$-module morphisms. The same fact is true for the higher adjoint mappings $\Theta^{(2n-1)} : \mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$ and $\Theta^{(2n)} : \mathcal{A}^{(2n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$.

We commence with the next lemma which plays a key role in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Banach algebras and let $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be bounded homomorphisms such that $\Theta \circ \Phi = I_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) If $D : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}$ is a derivation then so is $D_1 = (\Theta^{(2n-1)} \circ D \circ \Theta) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$. Moreover, if D_1 is inner then so is D.

(ii) If $D : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(2n)}$ is a derivation then so is $D_2 = (\Phi^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \Theta) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$. Moreover, if D_2 is inner then so is D.

(iii) If $\mathcal{A}$ is n-weakly amenable then so is $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. (i) As we have mentioned before, $\Theta^{(2n-1)}:\mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module morphism. Now let $D:\mathcal{B}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}$ be a derivation. Then for every $a,c\in\mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}D_1(ac)=(\Theta^{(2n-1)}\circ D\circ\Theta)(ac) &= \Theta^{(2n-1)}\circ D(\Theta(a)\Theta(c))\\ &= \Theta^{(2n-1)}(a\cdot D(\Theta(c))+D(\Theta(a))\cdot c)\\ &= a\cdot\Theta^{(2n-1)}(D(\Theta(c)))+\Theta^{(2n-1)}(D(\Theta(a)))\cdot c\\ &= a\cdot D_1(c)+D_1(a)\cdot c,\end{aligned}$$

which means that $D_1:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$ is a derivation.

Now assume that D_1 is inner which is implemented by $F\in\mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$. As $\Theta\circ\Phi=I_{\mathcal{B}}$, we have $\Theta^{(2n-2)}\circ\Phi^{(2n-2)}=I_{\mathcal{B}^{2n-2}}$, and so for every $b\in\mathcal{B}$ and $G\in B^{2n-2}$ (with $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}=\mathcal{B}$ in mind) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D(b),G\rangle &= \langle D(\Theta\circ\Phi(b)),(\Theta^{(2n-2)}\circ\Phi^{(2n-2)})(G)\rangle\\ &= \langle D_1(\Phi(b)),\Phi^{(2n-2)}(G)\rangle\\ &= \langle\Phi(b)\cdot F-F\cdot\Phi(b),\Phi^{(2n-2)}(G)\rangle\\ &= \langle\Phi^{(2n-1)}(b\cdot F-F\cdot b),G\rangle\\ &= \langle b\cdot\Phi^{(2n-1)}(F)-\Phi^{(2n-1)}(F)\cdot b,G\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

(Note that in the penultimate identity we used the fact that $\Phi^{(2n-1)}:\mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}^{(2n-1)}$ is actually a $\mathcal{B}$-module morphism.) Therefore, D is an inner derivation implemented by $\Phi^{(2n-1)}(F)$. The proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of (i). Part (iii) follows trivially from (i) and (ii). □

Remark 2.2. It is worthwhile to mention that the hypothesis imposed in Lemma 2.1 is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is the topological direct sum of Banach spaces $\ker(\Theta)$ and B. Indeed, direct verification reveals that the mapping $\omega:\mathcal{A}\to\ker(\Theta)\times\mathcal{B}$ defined by $\omega(a)=(a-\Phi(\Theta(a)),\Theta(a))$ is a bicontinuous isomorphism whose inverse is $\varpi:\ker(\Theta)\times\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{A}$ defined by $\varpi(a,b)=a+\Phi(b)$.

As an application of Lemma 2.1 we have the following result which shows that n-weak amenability inherits by those closed subalgebras which are complemented by a closed ideal.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra such that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$ for some closed ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and closed subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$. Then n-weak amenability of $\mathcal{A}$ implies that of $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let $\Theta:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ be the natural projection onto B and let $\Phi:\mathcal{B}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ be the natural injection into $\mathcal{A}$. Trivially Θ and Φ are (bounded) homomorphisms with $\Theta\circ\Phi=I_{\mathcal{B}}$. Now the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1. □

The next result is a restatement of the above result in the language of quotient algebras and split short exact sequences. Recall that a short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to 0$ in the category of Banach algebras and bounded homomorphisms splits if the morphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ enjoys a right inverse morphism; cf. [9].

Corollary 2.4. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is n-weakly amenable and $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ then the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ is n-weakly amenable provided the natural short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \to 0$ splits.*

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then the l^1-direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{X}$ is a Banach algebra under

$$(a, x) \cdot (b, y) = (ab, ay + xb) \qquad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, x, y \in \mathcal{X}),$$

which is known as a module extension Banach algebra. Some properties of algebras of this form have been studied in [4]. In particular, the n-weakly amenability of this kind of Banach algebras has been extensively investigated in [15, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2]. Since $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are ideal and closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{X}$, respectively, as a consequence of Theorem 2.3 we have

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module. If the module extension Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{X}$ is n-weakly amenable then so is $\mathcal{A}$.*

3. n-WEAK AMENABILITY OF SECOND DUAL

Recall that for a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the second dual $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ can be made into a Banach algebra supplied with either the first Arens product $\square$ or the second Arens product $\Diamond$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, the elements $a^{**} \cdot a^*$ and $a^* \cdot a^{**}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ are defined by the formulas

$$\langle a^{**} \cdot a^*, a\rangle = \langle a^{**}, a^* \cdot a\rangle, \qquad \langle a^* \cdot a^{**}, a\rangle = \langle a^{**}, a \cdot a^*\rangle.$$

Next, $a^{**} \square\, b^{**}$ and $a^{**} \Diamond\, b^{**}$ are defined in $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ by the formulas

$$\langle a^{**} \square\, b^{**}, a^*\rangle = \langle a^{**}, b^{**} \cdot a^*\rangle, \qquad \langle a^{**} \Diamond\, b^{**}, a^*\rangle = \langle b^{**}, a^* \cdot a^{**}\rangle.$$

Ample information about Arens products may be found in Arens' original paper [1] (see also [3]).

For a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, when there is no risk of confusion, we usually identify $\mathcal{X}$ $(x \in \mathcal{X})$ with its canonical image in $\mathcal{X}^{**}$.

A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a dual Banach algebra if there is a closed submodule $\mathcal{A}_*$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ for which $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$ ($\mathcal{A}_*$ is called the predual of $\mathcal{A}$). As a consequence of Theorem 2.3 we shall see that in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is a dual Banach algebra the n-weak amenability of $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ implies that of $\mathcal{A}$; see [6, Theorem 2.2] for $n = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *For a dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, if $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ (supplied with either of the Arens products) is n-weakly amenable, then so is $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. We present a proof for the first Arens product $\Box$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra with respect to $\mathcal{A}_*$. Suppose that $\Theta : \mathcal{A}^{**} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the adjoint of the canonical embedding $J : \mathcal{A}_* \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_*)^{**} = \mathcal{A}^*$ and let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$ be also the canonical embedding. Then trivially $\Theta \circ \Phi = I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and also $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box)$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, $\Theta : (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphism. Indeed, let $a_* \in \mathcal{A}_*$, $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ and let $\{a_\alpha\}$, $\{b_\beta\}$ be two nets in $\mathcal{A}$ that converge to a^{**} and b^{**} in the w^*-topology on $\mathcal{A}^{**}$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Theta(a^{**}\Box\, b^{**}), a_* \rangle &= \langle a^{**}\Box\, b^{**}, J(a_*)\rangle = \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle a_\alpha b_\beta, J(a_*)\rangle \\
&= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle \Theta(a_\alpha)\Theta(b_\beta), a_*\rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle J(a_* \cdot \Theta(a_\alpha)), b^{**}\rangle \\
&= \lim_\alpha \langle a_\alpha, J(\Theta(b^{**}) \cdot a_*)\rangle = \langle a^{**}, J(\Theta(b^{**}) \cdot a_*)\rangle \\
&= \langle \Theta(a^{**})\Theta(b^{**}), a_*\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.3 we conclude the result. □

For a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ let $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ be the Banach algebra endowed with the reversed product of $\mathcal{A}$, whose underlying Banach space is $\mathcal{A}$ itself. The n-weak amenability of $\mathcal{A}$ is trivially equivalent to that of $\mathcal{A}^{op}$. As another application of Theorem 2.3 we have the following result; see [6, Theorem 2.3] for $n = 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra admitting a continuous antihomomorphism λ such that $\lambda^2 = I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box)$ is n-weakly amenable if and only if $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Diamond)$ is n-weakly amenable. A similar conclusion holds if λ is a continuous involution on $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. As $\lambda^2 = I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for the second adjoint λ^{**} of λ we have $(\lambda^{**})^2 = I_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}$. Using a limit process similar to what we used in the proof of Proposition 3.1, one can show that $\lambda^{**} : (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Diamond)^{op}$ and $\lambda^{**} : (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Diamond)^{op} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box)$ are homomorphisms. The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.3. In the case when λ is an involution on $\mathcal{A}$ a similar proof may apply. □

4. INTROVERTED SUBSPACES OF $\mathcal{A}^*$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra. A closed subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ is said to be left invariant if $\mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ (or equivalently, $\mathcal{X}$ is a right Banach $\mathcal{A}-$submodule of $\mathcal{A}^*$). A left invariant subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ is said to be left introverted if $\mathcal{X}^* \cdot \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. The dual $\mathcal{X}^*$ of a left introverted subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ has a natural (first Arens type) multiplication $\Box$ on it in the same manner as $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ does. Right invariance and right introversion for a subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ can be defined similarly. In the case when $\mathcal{X}$ is right introverted then $\mathcal{X}^*$ can be supplied with a natural (second Arens type) multiplication $\Diamond$. A trivial example of a (left and right) introverted subspace is $\mathcal{A}^*$ itself. More illuminating examples of introverted subspaces of $\mathcal{A}^*$ are $WAP(\mathcal{A})$ (=weakly almost periodic elements of $\mathcal{A}^*$) and $AP(\mathcal{A})$ (=almost periodic elements of $\mathcal{A}^*$). It can be shown that every w^*-closed invariant subspace of $\mathcal{A}^*$ is introverted. The same is valid for every (norm) closed subspace of $WAP(\mathcal{A})$; see [13, Lemma 1.2]. In the case when $\mathcal{A}$ enjoys a bounded right (left) approximate identity, the Cohen-Hewitt Factorization Theorem [10, Theorem 32.22] shows that $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A}$ ($\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}^*$) is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{A}^*$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A}$ is left introverted while $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}^*$ is right introverted.

For every two left introverted subspaces $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ it can be readily verified that the restriction map $P : \mathcal{X}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^*$ is a continuous homomorphism onto $\mathcal{Y}^*$ whose kernel is the w^*-closed ideal $\mathcal{Y}^\perp = \{x^* \in \mathcal{X}^* : \langle x^*, y\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$ of $\mathcal{X}^*$; see [13, Lemma 1.1]. This provides the direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{Y}^* \oplus \mathcal{Y}^\perp$. A problem which is of interest in this section is: for two left introverted subspaces $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$, under what conditions the n-weak amenability of $\mathcal{X}^*$ implies that of $\mathcal{Y}^*$? According to Theorem 2.3 it is the case if $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}^*$. In this case we say the pair $(\mathcal{Y}^*, \mathcal{X}^*)$ is admissible. We summarize these observations in the next result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}$ be two left introverted subspaces of $\mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. If the pair $(\mathcal{Y}^*, \mathcal{X}^*)$ is admissible then n-weak amenability of $\mathcal{X}^*$ implies that of $\mathcal{Y}^*$.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let A have a right approximate identity bounded by 1. Then the n-weak amenability of $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ implies that of $(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$.*

Proof. Using the latter result it is enough to show that the pair $((\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*, \mathcal{A}^{**})$ is admissible. To see this we shall show that $(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to the closed subalgebra $e^{**}\mathcal{A}^{**}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{**}$, in which e^{**} is a w^*-cluster point of the involved bounded approximate identity in $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ which in turn is a right identity for $\mathcal{A}^{**}$. It can be readily verified that the restriction map $\pi : e^{**}\mathcal{A}^{**} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$ is a norm decreasing (Banach algebra) isomorphism, but not necessarily isometric. As the involved right approximate identity is bounded by 1, π actually is an isometry. In fact, for every $a^{**} \in e^{**}\mathcal{A}^{**}$ we have trivially $e^{**}\Box\, a^{**} = a^{**}$ and so for each $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle a^{**}, a^*\rangle| &= |\langle e^{**}\Box\, a^{**}, a^*\rangle| \\
&= \lim_\alpha |\langle \pi(a^{**}), a^* \cdot e_\alpha\rangle| \\
&\leq \lim_\alpha \|\pi(a^{**})\| \|a^*\| \|e_\alpha\| \\
&\leq \|\pi(a^{**})\| \|a^*\| ,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that $(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to the closed subalgebra $e^{**}\mathcal{A}^{**}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{**}$. □

Since $\mathcal{A} = L^1(G)$, where G is a locally compact group, always enjoys an approximate identity of norm 1, using the fact that $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} = L^\infty(G) \cdot L^1(G) = LUC(G)$, as a rapid application of the latter result we have the following corollary. A special version of it (for the case $n = 1$) is given in [13, Proposition 4.14].

Corollary 4.3. *If $L^1(G)^{**}$ is n-weakly amenable then so is $LUC(G)^*$.*

A result of Leptin [12] asserts that if G is amenable then the Fourier algebra $\mathcal{A} = A(G)$ has a bounded (by 1) approximate identity (and vice versa). Also in this case, $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} = UC(\hat{G})^*$. Applying Corollary 4.2 we have the following result, whose special case $n = 1$ is given in [13, Proposition 6.3].

Corollary 4.4. *If G is an amenable group then n-weak amenability of $A(G)^{**}$ implies the same for $UC(\hat{G})^*$.*

Remark 4.5. (i) Note that in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is a dual Banach algebra (with predual $\mathcal{A}_*$) then trivially $\mathcal{A}_*$ is an introverted subspace of $\mathcal{A}^*$ (which in turn supports the decomposition $\mathcal{A}^{**} = \mathcal{A} \oplus {\mathcal{A}_*}^{\perp}$). As $(\mathcal{A}_*)^* = \mathcal{A}$ is (isometrically isomorphic to) a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{**}$, the pair $(\mathcal{A},\, \mathcal{A}^{**})$ is an admissible pair, and this provides a short proof for Proposition 3.1 (by virtue of Theorem 4.1).

(ii) Lau and Loy in their extensive work [13] gave a train of admissible pairs, in particular in the group algebras $L^\infty(G)$, $VN(G)$ and $PM_p(G)$. For instance, we quote some of them which are related to $L^\infty(G)$, whose details can be found in [13, Sections 3 and 4].

- $(M(G/N), M(G))$, where N is a compact normal subgroup of G.
- $(M(G), \mathcal{X}^*)$, for every introverted subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $L^\infty(G)$ with $C_0(G) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \subseteq C(G)$. In particular, the pairs $(M(G), LUC(G)^*)$ and $(M(G), WAP(G)^*)$.
- $(AP(G)^*, WAP(G)^*)$.
- $(WAP(G/N)^*, WAP(G)^*)$, where N is a closed normal subgroup of G.
- The pairs $(\mathcal{X}^*, L^1(G)^{**})$ and $(L^1(G/N)^{**}, {L^1(G)}^{**})$, in which G is amenable, N is a closed normal subgroup of G and $\mathcal{X}$ is a non-zero w^*-closed self-adjoint translation invariant subalgebra of $L^\infty(G)$.

One may also find some other admissible pairs related to $VN(G)$ and $PM_p(G)$ in Sections 6 and 7 of [13].

According to Theorem 4.1, in each of the above mentioned pairs, the n-weak amenability of the second component implies that of the first.

References

[1] A. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2**(1951), 839–848. Zbl 0044.32601

[2] W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis, and H. G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 359–377. Zbl 0634.46042

[3] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monographs **24** Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000. Zbl 0981.46043

[4] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and N. Grønbæk, *Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **128** (1) (1998), 19–54. Zbl 0903.46045

[5] H. G. Dales and A. T.-M. Lau, *The second duals of Beurling algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **177** (2005), no. 836. Zbl 1075.43003

[6] F. Ghahramani and J. Laali, *Amenability and topological centres of the second duals of Banach algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 191–197. Zbl 1029.46116

[7] N. Gronbæk, *Amenability and weak amenability of tensor algebras and algebras of nuclear operators*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **51** (1991), 483-488. Zbl 0758.46040

[8] N. Gronbæk, *Weak and cyclic amenability for non-commutative Banach algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **35** (1992), 315-328. Zbl 0760.46043

[9] A. Ya. Helemskii, *The Homology of Banach and Topological Algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989. Zbl 0695.46033

[10] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1963); Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1970). Zbl 0115.10603, 0213.40103

[11] B. E. Johnson, *Weak amenability of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 281–284. Zbl 0757.43002

[12] H. Leptin, *Sur l'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A **266** (1968), 1180-1182. Zbl 0169.46501

[13] A. T.-M. Lau and R. J. Loy, *Weak amenability of Banach algebras on locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **145** (1997), 175–204. Zbl 0890.46036

[14] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1774**, Springer 2002. Zbl 0999.46022

[15] Y. Zhang, *Weak amenability of module extensions of Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 4131–4151. Zbl 1008.46019

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran.

E-mail address: `shahzadeh@math.um.ac.ir`

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran;
Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail address: `moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir and moslehian@ams.org`

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran;
Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail address: `vishki@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir`